

Distinct patterns of simple sequence repeats and GC distribution in intragenic and intergenic regions of primate genomes

Wen-Hua Qi^{1,2*}, Chao-chao Yan^{1*}, Wu-Jiao Li¹, Xue-Mei Jiang³, Guang-Zhou Li⁴, Xiu-Yue Zhang¹, Ting-Zhang Hu², Jing Li¹, Bi-Song Yue¹

¹Key Laboratory of Bio-resources and Eco-environment (Ministry of Education), College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China

²College of Life Science and Engineering, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing 404100, China

³College of Environmental and Chemistry Engineering, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing 404100, China

⁴College of Sport and Health, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing 404100, China

*Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Jing Li; email: ljtjf@126.com

Key words: Simple sequence repeats, GC, genomic regions, patterns, primate genomes

Received: June 08, 2016 **Accepted:** August 22, 2016

Published: September 16, 2016

ABSTRACT

As the first systematic examination of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and guanine-cytosine (GC) distribution in intragenic and intergenic regions of ten primates, our study showed that SSRs and GC displayed nonrandom distribution for both intragenic and intergenic regions, suggesting that they have potential roles in transcriptional or translational regulation. Our results suggest that the majority of SSRs are distributed in non-coding regions, such as the introns, TEs, and intergenic regions. In these primates, trinucleotide perfect (P) SSRs were the most abundant repeats type in the 5'UTRs and CDSs, whereas, mononucleotide P-SSRs were the most in the intron, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions. The GC-contents varied greatly among different intragenic and intergenic regions: 5'UTRs > CDSs > 3'UTRs > TEs > introns > intergenic regions, and high GC-content was frequently distributed in exon-rich regions. Our results also showed that in the same intragenic and intergenic regions, the distribution of GC-contents were great similarity in the different primates. Tri- and hexanucleotide P-SSRs had the most GC-contents in the 5'UTRs and CDSs, whereas mononucleotide P-SSRs had the least GC-contents in the six genomic regions of these primates. The most frequent motifs for different length varied obviously with the different genomic regions.

INTRODUCTION

Simple sequence repeats (SSRs), or microsatellites, are tandem repeats of 1–6 bp DNA motifs [1], which are distributed in both coding and noncoding regions of eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes [2,3] and exhibit high degree of polymorphism. Also, some SSRs are preferentially distributed in peri-centromeric heterochromatic regions [4] and were lack of sequence conservation in centromere [5]. SSR loci have a high mutation rate (10^{-6} to 10^{-2} / generation) which changes the number of SSR repeat unit and repeat tracts [6,7].

SSR mutations are more often caused by the number changes of the repeating motifs, not by point mutations [8-10]. The indefinite growth of number of SSR motifs is prevented by the accumulation of base substitutions, and short SSRs should have a lower SSR mutation rate than longer SSRs. Mutation rates of SSR-rich gene are much higher than other parts of the genome [9]. The instability of SSRs is primarily due to slipped-strand mispairing errors during DNA replication [6,11,12]. The majority of slippage insertions/ deletions would be corrected by the mismatch repair system, and only the small part of sites that are not repaired lead to SSR mutations [13].

There are current evidences that SSR expansions or contractions within genome sequences can affect functions of these sequences, even lead to phenotypic changes [14,15]. In 5'-untranslated regions (5'UTRs), SSR expansions and/or contractions can affect gene transcription or regulation, and in protein-coding sequences (CDSs), they can result in the phenotype modification [8,16-18], even lead to the generation of toxic or malfunctioning proteins [19]. For example, the expansion of the (GAG)_n motif in the coding region of the Huntington's disease (HD) gene in humans can lead to Huntington's disease [20,21]. SSR variation within intron regions can regulate gene expression, translation, mRNA splicing, and gene silencing [20,22,23], and in 3'-untranslated regions (3'UTRs) they are involved in gene silencing and transcription slippage [20,24]. In addition, the alteration of SSR length within promoter regions may affect transcription factor binding and alter the level and specificity of gene transcription [25].

So far, no systematic research regarding SSRs variation and characterization has been conducted on a genome-wide scale in the primates. The rapid advance of sequencing technologies has made a number of primate genomes available to investigate the characteristics and distributions of SSRs in the intragenic (i.e., 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, and 3'UTRs) and intergenic regions. The genome sequence data from ten primates: *Otolemur garnetti* (*OtoGar*), *Callithrix jacchus* (*CalJac*), *Macaca mulatta* (*MacMul*), *Chlorocebus sabaues* (*ChlSab*), *Papio anubis* (*PapAnu*), *Nomascus leucogenys* (*NomLeu*), *Gorilla gorilla* (*GorGor*), *Pongo abelii* (*PonAbe*), *Pan troglodytes* (*PanTro*), *Homo sapiens* (*HomSap*), were used in the study, we detected and characterized SSRs and examined their distributions and variations in intragenic and intergenic regions. Furthermore, we addressed the questions of whether the abundance of different SSR types and motifs are similar or not in different genomic regions and how GC-content of SSR differ in 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, transposable elements (TEs), and intergenic regions. This research will facilitate our understanding of SSRs and their potential biological functions in transcription or translation in the primates.

RESULTS

The number and abundance of SSRs in primate genomes

The six categories of SSRs were found in each of these primate genomic sequences by using computer software MSDB for a genome-wide scan (Table 1). P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the CD-SSRs and

ICD-SSRs, and the least was in the CX-SSRs in these primate species (Table 1). The relative abundances of the same SSR types showed great similarity in the primate species. In the 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions of these primates, P-SSRs was the most abundant type, and the least was in the CX-SSRs; the introns and TEs had the most abundant P-SSRs, followed by the pattern: intergenic regions > 5'UTRs > 3'UTRs, and the least was the CDSs (Figure 1). The number and relative abundance of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs across these species genomes are presented in Table 2. Results here indicated that the number and relative abundance of the same repeat type of mono- to hexanucleotides P-SSRs showed great similarity in the ten primate genomes. Mononucleotide P-SSRs were the most abundant category, followed by the pattern: di- > tetra- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs (Table 2). The proportion of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs was also very similar in these primate genomes (Figure 2). Mononucleotide P-SSRs were the maximum ratio, accounting for 55.80% ~ 65.62% of all P-SSRs, followed by the pattern: di- > tetra- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs. The comparison among the whole genomes of these primates clearly shows that *Otolemur garnetti* has a higher percentage of mononucleotide P-SSRs (65.62%) and *Callithrix jacchus* has a great affinity for dinucleotide repeats (21.76%) compared to other primates. The number of SSRs is closely positive correlated with genome size (Pearson, $r = 0.742$, $p < 0.05$) and relative abundance (Pearson, $r = 0.685$, $p < 0.05$) in these primate genomes. Neither relative abundance nor relative density of SSRs in these primate genomes was significantly correlated with genome size (Pearson, $r < 0.465$, $p > 0.05$).

Diversity of P-SSRs in different intragenic and intergenic regions of primates

The abundance of different repeat motifs varied obviously with genomic regions in the ten primates. In the 5'UTRs, the (CCG)_n was the most abundant motif, followed by the motif (A)_n, thirdly the (AGG)_n, fourthly the (AC)_n, (AG)_n, (AGC)_n, and (ACG)_n (Figure 3A). In the CDSs, the (AGC)_n and (AGG)_n were the most abundant motifs, followed by the motif (CCG)_n and (ACG)_n, thirdly the (A)_n, (ACC)_n, (AAG)_n, and (ACT)_n, fourthly the (AG)_n and (AAC)_n (Figure 3B). In the introns, the (A)_n was the most abundant motif, followed by the motif (AC)_n, thirdly the (AG)_n, (AT)_n, (AAAT)_n, and (AAAC)_n, fourthly the (AAC)_n, (AAT)_n, (AAAG)_n, and (AAGG)_n, the (CG)_n and (CCG)_n were relatively infrequent in the intron regions (Figure 3C). In the 3'UTRs, the (A)_n was the most abundant motif, followed by the motif (AC)_n, thirdly the (AT)_n, fourthly the

(AG)_n, (AAT)_n, (AAC)_n, (AAAC)_n, and (AAAT)_n (Figure 3D). In the TEs, the (A)_n was the most abundant motif, followed by the motif (AAAT)_n, thirdly the (AAAC)_n, fourthly the (AC)_n, (AG)_n, (AT)_n, (AAC)_n, (AAT)_n, (AAAG)_n, and (AAACA)_n (Figure 3E). In the intergenic regions, the (A)_n was the most abundant motif, followed by the motif (AC)_n, thirdly the (AG)_n, (AT)_n, and (AAAT)_n, fourthly the (AAC)_n, (AAT)_n, (AAAG)_n, (AAAC)_n, and (AAGG)_n (Figure 3F). Therefore, the motifs of SSRs are not randomly distributed in the 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions. There is a noticeable excess of (CCG)_n repeats in the 5'UTRs compared to the CDSs,

introns, and 3'UTRs, and the (CCG)_n repeats was significantly more abundant in the CDSs than that in the introns and 3'UTRs. The (AGG)_n and (AGC)_n repeats are obvious relatively abundant in 5'UTRs and CDSs compared to other four regions. The (ACG)_n repeats are relatively abundant in the 5'UTRs and CDSs compared to other four regions. The (A)_n motif was significantly more abundant than the (C)_n unit in the six regions. The (AAT)_n and (AAC)_n motifs are relatively frequent in the introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions, where their abundance exceeds that of other trinucleotide motifs, and the (CG)_n and (CCG)_n motifs are relatively infrequent in the four regions.




Figure 1. SSRs abundance of six categories in different intragenic and intergenic regions of primates. ABCDEF represent 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions, respectively.

Table 1. Relative abundance of the six categories of SSRs in the primate genomes

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
CD-SSRs	8.06	8.19	10.93	11.48	11.18	8.28	7.88	7.76	8.73	8.92
CX-SSRs	0.29	0.59	0.94	1.04	0.96	0.50	0.40	0.38	0.49	0.62
ICD-SSRs	3.10	5.51	6.44	7.17	6.84	5.95	8.76	6.45	7.67	5.88
ICX-SSRs	0.61	2.15	2.65	2.71	2.71	2.03	2.99	1.71	2.37	2.05
IP-SSRs	1.14	2.62	3.46	4.54	3.78	3.15	8.61	3.69	3.99	4.07
P-SSRs	349.88	346.57	419.09	446.24	438.66	378.7	356.94	357.45	356.57	381.4

Note: Compound, CD; interrupted compound, ICD; complex, CX; interrupted complex, ICX; Perfect, P; interrupted perfect, IP.




Figure 2. The distribution of SSRs in ten primate genomes. Percentages were calculated according to the total number of each SSR category divided by the total number of SSRs for that organism.

Distribution of P-SSRs in different intragenic and intergenic regions of primates

In the 5'UTRs, trinucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the pattern: mono- > di- > tetra- > penta- > hexa-nucleotide P-SSRs in the ten primates (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table 1). In the CDSs, trinucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the pattern: (1) mono- > hexa- > di- > tetra- > pentanucleotide P-SSRs in the *Otolemur garnettii*,

Callithrix jacchus, *Macaca mulatta*, *Gorilla gorilla*, *Pongo abelii*, and *Nomascus leucogenys*; (2) hexa- > mono- > di- > tetra- > pentanucleotide P-SSRs in the *Homo sapiens*, *Pan troglodytes*, and *Papio anubis* (Figure 4B and Supplementary Table 2). Tetra- and pentanucleotide P-SSRs, though generally common, were relatively less abundant in the CDSs of these primates. In the introns, mononucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the pattern: (1) tetra- > di- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the *Otolemur*

garnettii; (2) di- > tetra- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the remaining nine primates; the least was in the hexanucleotide P-SSRs in these primates (Figure 4C and Supplementary Table 3). In the introns, mononucleotide P-SSRs were more than fivefold as frequent as di- and tetranucleotide P-SSRs, and interestingly, the latter are much more frequent than trinucleotide P-SSRs. In the 3'UTRs, mononucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the pattern: di- > tetra- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs (except for *Macaca*

mulatta and *Otolemur garnettii*: di- > tri- > tetra- > penta- > hexa-nucleotide P-SSRs) in these primates (Figure 4D and Supplementary Table 4). In the TEs, mononucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the pattern: tetra- > di- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the ten primates (Figure 4E and Supplementary Table 5). In the TEs, mononucleotide P-SSRs was more than tenfold as frequent as di- and trinucleotide P-SSRs, and interestingly, the latter are much less frequent than tetranucleotide P-SSRs. In the intergenic regions, mono-




Figure 3. Relative abundance of mono- to trinucleotide P-SSRs in different intragenic and intergenic regions of ten primates. ABCDEF represent 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions, respectively.

mononucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type, followed by the pattern: di- > tetra- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the ten primates (Figure 4F and Supplementary Table 6). Penta- and hexanucleotide P-SSRs were relatively less abundant in the intergenic regions of these primates.

A comparison among these regions shows that relative abundances and percentage of most of the same mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs showed great similarity in the same genomic regions of these primates. Remarkably, the total SSR abundance among all regions for these primates is the most for the introns (Figure 4). There are more than sevenfold difference between the total SSR abundance of the CDSs and introns. These results here indicated that SSRs are more abundant in non-coding regions than coding regions in these primates and that SSR abundances are greater in the introns, TEs, intergenic regions than their whole genomes.

The GC content of all P-SSRs in the primate genomes

The GC-contents varied greatly among different intragenic and intergenic regions, but, in same intra-

genic and intergenic regions, the distribution of the GC-content is great similarity. From the results (Figure 5) we can know that 5'UTRs had the most GC-content (ranging 54.39~60.44%), followed by the pattern: CDSs (51.49 ~ 52.14%) > 3'UTRs (41.54 ~ 46.37%) > TEs (41.40 ~ 41.80%) > introns (40.31 ~ 41.61%) > intergenic regions (39.85 ~ 40.82%). The distribution patterns of AT-contents were great similarity in the same genomic regions of these primates (Supplementary Table 7). From this we can know, high GC-content was distributed in exon-rich regions more frequently than other regions, and the GC-content was not evenly distributed in different genomic regions.

The AT- and GC-content of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs were calculated in the 5'UTRs, introns, CDSs, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions of ten primate genomes, which the results were shown in Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 8-13. In the six regions, mononucleotide P-SSRs had the least GC-contents and were significantly less than their total GC-contents in these primate genomes. In the 5'UTRs, we can know that except for the mononucleotide P-SSRs, the GC-content of the remaining nucleotide repeat types are

Table 2. Number and abundance of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the whole genome of ten primates

Repeat type		<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mon-	No. of SSRs	578,505	572,440	707,851	716,491	760,821	654,188	588,219	710,219	673,472	660,459
	Abundance (No./Mb)	229.59	196.38	247.18	256.84	258.05	220.86	201.6	206.39	203.49	213.39
Di-	No. of SSRs	123,644	219,782	196,954	206,020	209,660	197,634	191,208	215,893	213,545	216,948
	Abundance (No./Mb)	49.07	75.4	68.78	73.85	71.11	66.72	65.53	62.74	64.52	70.09
Tri-	No. of SSRs	51,335	47,936	69,344	76,567	76,770	60,829	61,069	78,912	70,047	69,569
	Abundance (No./Mb)	20.37	16.44	24.22	27.45	26.04	20.54	20.93	22.93	21.17	22.48
Tetra-	No. of SSRs	107,002	144,273	181,729	173,374	202,358	163,606	154,571	183,841	177,932	186,873
	Abundance (No./Mb)	42.47	49.49	63.46	68.92	66.58	55.23	52.98	53.42	53.76	59.34
Penta-	No. of SSRs	18,843	21,966	38,033	45,949	42,744	40,780	38,248	30,351	37,214	42,805
	Abundance (No./Mb)	7.48	7.54	13.28	16.47	14.5	13.77	14.08	10.02	11.83	13.83
Hexa-	No. of SSRs	2,260	3,835	6,203	7,567	7,023	4,682	5,302	6,707	5,949	7,025
	Abundance (No./Mb)	0.90	1.32	2.17	2.71	2.38	1.58	1.82	1.95	1.8	2.27

more than their AT-content (Figure 6A and Supplementary Table 8). Trinucleotide P-SSRs had the most GC-content (over 87.40%), followed by the pattern: hexa- > penta- > tetra- > dinucleotide P-SSRs in the 5'UTRs of these primates (Figure 6A). In contrast, the GC-content

in dinucleotide P-SSRs were significantly lower than their total GC-content, whereas the GC-content in the tri-, penta- and hexa-nucleotide P-SSRs were more than their total GC-content in the 5'UTRs of these primates (Figure 6A). In the CDSs, the most GC-contents were in




Figure 4. Relative abundance of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in different intragenic and intergenic regions of ten primates. ABCDEF represent 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions, respectively.

tri- and hexanucleotide P-SSRs, ranging from 65.55% (*Nomascus leucogenys*) to 75.63% (*Papio anubis*), which were more than their AT-content, and the GC-content of the remaining nucleotide repeat types were significantly lower than their total GC-content (54.60 ~ 68.79%) in these primates, especially in mononucleotide P-SSRs (Figure 6B and Supplementary Table 9). In the 3'UTRs, except for the hexanucleotide P-SSRs, the GC-content of the remaining nucleotide repeat types were less than their AT-content, and tetra- and pentanucleotide P-SSRs had the second least GC-content (Figure 6D, Supplementary Table 11). In the introns, TEs, and intergenic regions, we can know that the GC-contents of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs are less than their AT-content, and the most GC-contents were all in dinucleotide P-SSRs in these primates (Figure 6C, E, F and Supplementary Table 10, 12-13). In the introns and TEs, trinucleotide P-SSRs had the second most GC-contents, which were more than GC-contents of tetra-, penta-, and hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the primates. In the TEs, tetra-, penta-, and hexanucleotide P-SSRs are of similar GC-contents in the primates. In the intergenic regions, hexanucleotide P-SSRs had the second most GC-contents, tri-, tetra-, and pentanucleotide P-SSRs were of similar GC-contents, which were less than that of hexanucleotide P-SSRs (Figure 6F and Supplementary Table 13). In contrast, the GC-content in the di- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs were more than their total GC-content in the 3'UTRs, introns, TEs, intergenic regions. In the 3'UTRs, introns, TEs, and intergenic regions, the total AT-contents ranged from 82.17 % to 93.19%, were significantly higher than their total GC-content; whereas, in the 5'UTRs and CDSs, the total GC-contents ranged from 50.34 % to 73.37%, were significantly higher than their total AT-content in the primates. Therefore, the GC-content of P-SSRs is probably high in exon-rich regions, whereas, the AT-content of P-SSRs is probably quite high in non-coding regions of primates.

DISCUSSION

In a genome-wide study of SSRs using 10 primate species, there were clearly similarity patterns of SSRs distribution in the primate genomes. Mononucleotides SSRs were the most prevalent repeat type, accounting for 55.80% ~ 65.62% of all SSRs, followed by the pattern: di- > tetra- > tri- > penta- > hexanucleotide SSRs in the study. In the bovid genomes, mononucleotides SSRs were also the most abundant repeat type, accounting for 43.01% – 45.33% of all SSRs, followed by the pattern: di- > tri- > Penta- > tetra- > hexanucleotides SSRs. It has been reported that the abundance of mononucleotide SSRs are more than other nucleotide SSRs in eukaryotic genomes [26,27]. Also,

mononucleotide SSRs are the most abundance in all human chromosomes [28]. In contrast, trinucleotide P-SSRs were less abundant than tetranucleotide P-SSRs, and hexanucleotide P-SSRs was the least in the primate genomes. The presence of abundant di- and tetranucleotide SSRs with their features of higher replication slippage than trinucleotide SSRs, especially in the upstream regulatory regions, introns and intergenic regions might be contributing to their high polymorphic potential [29]. Mayer et al. (2010) detected that there was weak correlation between the genome sizes and SSR densities [30]. In 257 virus genomes, the relative SSR densities (bp/kb) showed quite weak correlation with genome size [31]. Our analysis showed that the number of SSRs was significantly correlated with genome size (Pearson, $r = 0.742$, $p < 0.05$) and relative abundance (Pearson, $r = 0.685$, $p < 0.05$) in the primate genomes, suggesting that SSRs might have not contributed significantly to the genome size expansion in evolution. The change of SSR density was consistent with the variations of SSR abundance in the different regions of primates. This will definitely help us improve our understanding of the evolution of SSRs and their roles in gene expression regulation.




Figure 5. GC-content of different intragenic and intergenic regions in ten primates.

Similarity and diversity of SSR motifs in different genomic regions

The major motifs of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSR types showed great similarity in the primate whole genomes. We can always find (A/T)-rich motifs among the most common repeat types, such as $(AX)_n$, $(AAX)_n$, $(AAAX)_n$, $(AAAAX)_n$, $(AAAAAX)_n$ motifs, where X

denotes any base other than A, are very abundant in these primate genomes. It has been demonstrated that the $(AAAX)_n$ motifs were very abundant in primates and rodents [2]. In the tetranucleotide motifs, the $(AAAT)_n$ repeats are the most abundant motifs, followed

by the motif $(AAAC)_n$, thirdly the $(AAAG)_n$, fourthly the $(AAGG)_n$ in the primate genomes, this is consistent with previous report [2]. The motifs of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSR types showed distinct distribution patterns in the intragenic and intergenic regions of




Figure 6. GC-content of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in different intragenic and intergenic regions of ten primates. ABCDEF represent 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions, respectively.

primates. Our results showed that the abundance of SSRs are much higher in the introns, TEs, and intergenic regions compared to the other genomic regions. In 42 prokaryotic genomes, the SSR distributions in CDSs were biased toward CDS termini, yielding U-shape SSR abundance curves across the span of the CDSs [32]. In the study, there is also a noticeable excess of (AGC)_n and (AGG)_n repeats, and (CCG)_n constitutes the second most frequent motif in the CDSs compared to the other genomic regions in the primates. The (CG)_n are relatively frequent in the 5'UTRs, whereas their abundance are very little in the CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions of the primates. The (CCG)_n motifs are relatively infrequent in the introns, TEs, and intergenic regions, where their abundance were less than that of other trinucleotide motifs in the primates. The (CCG)_n motifs are the most abundant repeats in 5'UTRs of these primates, whereas (AG)_n and (AAG)_n were the top-ranked SSR motifs in 5'UTRs of dicots [33]. The (A)_n repeats are the most abundant motifs in the introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions of these primates, rather than (AAT)_n repeats, this is inconsistent with previous report [2]. The second most frequent motifs are dinucleotide (AC)_n repeats in introns, 3'UTRs, and intergenic regions of these primates might suggest that the motifs may be involved in exon splicing or alternative splicing [10]. (AAC)_n and (AAT)_n repeats are relatively frequent in introns, TEs, and intergenic regions of these primates, where their occurrence exceeds that of other trinucleotide repeats. We have demonstrated that the (ACG)_n and (CCG)_n repeats were absolutely presented in these primates, this is inconsistent with previous report [2]. It has been reported that the (CCG)_n motifs were predominantly presented in the upstream regions of the genes [34]. Thus, we speculate that the (CCG)_n motifs play significant roles in the regulation of gene expression.

Longer repeat units possessed more kinds of motif types than short repeat units. In terms of motif types, hexanucleotide SSRs has the most kinds of motif types, followed by the pattern: penta- > tetra- > tri- > di- > mononucleotide motif types. In our study, mononucleotide SSRs has only two kinds of motifs, whereas hexanucleotide motifs has more than 200 kinds of units in these primate genomes: 205 in *Otolemur garnetti*, 211 in *Callithrix jacchus*, 233 in *Gorilla gorilla*, 234 in *Macaca mulatta*, 233 in *Papio anubis*, 237 in *Chlorocebus sabaeus*, 218 in *Pan troglodytes*, 234 in *Pongo abelii*, 211 in *Nomascus leucogenys*, 230 in *homo sapiens*. It was presumed that SSR motifs were not generated randomly in the genomes and motif types may play important roles in gene expression and regulation. In humans, the number variation of repeat units are related to some serious diseases or defects, such as fragile

X syndrome [35], spinobulbar muscular atrophy [42], and Huntington's disease [36]. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the well-known Bur-0 IIL1 defect generates a detrimental phenotype, which is caused by the expansion of (AAG)_n motif in the intron of IIL1 gene [37].

The variation of SSR abundance in different intragenic and intergenic regions

The abundance of SSRs varies widely between genomes [2,7], and recent evidence suggests a non-random genomic distribution. It has been demonstrated that SSRs in different genomic regions might play different functional roles. For example, SSR expansions or contractions in coding regions can determine whether a gene becomes activated; intronic SSRs can affect gene transcription, mRNA splicing and gene silencing; SSR variations in 5'UTRs could regulate gene expression and SSR expansions in 3'UTRs may cause transcription slippage [20]. It has been reported that changes of SSRs are involved in several human diseases [38-40]. Our results showed that the abundance of different SSR types varies with the genomic region. SSRs have been shown to be more abundant in non-coding regions than in coding regions [2,7,24,41]. In the different genomic regions of the same primates, the introns and TEs had the most abundant P-SSRs, followed by the pattern: intergenic regions > 5'UTRs > 3'UTRs > CDSs. P-SSR abundance is the least in the CDSs, indicating that low SSR abundance may decrease the evaluability of proteins. This may be related to the fact that SSR births/deaths were strongly selected against in CDSs [42].

This evidence has been demonstrated that the mutations of CDSs could cause protein functional changes, loss of function, and protein truncation [20]. In different repeat type of these primates, trinucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type in the 5'UTRs and CDSs, whereas mononucleotide P-SSRs was the most abundant type in the 3'UTRs, introns, TEs, and intergenic regions; pentanucleotide P-SSRs was the least in the CDSs, whereas hexanucleotide P-SSRs was the least in the 5'UTRs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions. Trinucleotide SSRs are the most abundant type in the protein-coding regions of all taxa [4]. In the exon regions, in the *Otolemur garnetti* trinucleotide P-SSRs were the most abundant, followed by the pattern: (1) mono- > di- > tetra- > hexa- > pentanucleotide P-SSRs; in the remaining primates mononucleotide P-SSRs were the most abundant, followed by the pattern: tri- > di- > tetranucleotide, and the least was in the penta- and hexanucleotide SSRs. It has been showed that SSRs are significantly enriched within 5'UTRs and their immediate upstream intergenic regions in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana and *Oryza sativa* [24,43,44], which belong to the promoter regions where core promoter elements are often represented [45]. In the introns of these primates, the rarity of trinucleotide P-SSRs was also quite pronounced in comparison to di- and tetranucleotide P-SSRs. And we found that the introns didn't contain more hexanucleotide P-SSRs than exons, which was inconsistent with previous reports [2]. It has been reported that CDSs are preferentially selected with tri- and hexanucleotide SSR motifs [3, 28,30,43,46], which can reduce potential translational frameshift mutations [47]. This evidence can help to explain why tri-fold nucleotide SSR motifs are more frequent in CDSs than other genomic regions. Furthermore, there is strong evolutionary pressure against SSRs expansion in CDSs, which can maintain the stability of the protein products [48].

The distributional difference of GC-content in different genomic regions of primates

Here, we further examined the nucleotide components in different genomic regions of ten primates. The GC-contents of ten primate genomes showed a remarkably consistent, but GC-contents varied greatly among different intragenic and intergenic regions. In different genomic regions of the primates, the distribution patterns of the GC-content were as followed: 5'UTRs > CDSs > 3'UTRs > TEs > introns > intergenic regions. Thus we can know that high GC-content was frequently distributed in exon-rich regions, and the distribution of GC-content was uneven in the primate genomes. Extreme heterogeneity of local GC-content is one of the most recognizable characteristics in the human genome [49,50]. In rice, the GC-content ranking was 5'UTRs (55.7%) > exons (53.2%) > introns (43.8%) > 3'UTRs (40.2%), whereas, in *Arabidopsis* the GC-content ranking was exons (44.2%) > 5'UTRs (38.3%) > 3'UTRs (33.8%) > introns (32.5%) [24]. Typically, the 5'-ends of a Gramineae gene were up to 25% more rich in GC-content than their 3'-ends [51]. Different classes of TEs tend to have bias for either GC-rich or GC-poor regions [52]. Ancestral Alu sequences have a high GC and CpG content [53,54]. In the study, the motifs of GC-richness were present in the 5'UTRs and CDSs, in which the GC-content were much higher than other genomic regions (Figure 5); whereas the motifs of AT-richness were present in the introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions, in which the AT-content were much higher than the 5'UTRs and CDSs (Supplementary Table 8-13). It is clear that the top SSR motifs have a strong positively relationship with the GC- or AT-content in different genomic regions. This similar relationship also has been demonstrated in recent years [55]. Therefore, if there is high GC-content in a genomic regions, then the most

frequent SSR motifs prefer to be GC-rich instead of AT-rich, and vice versa.

In contrast, the gradient of average GC-content decreases from the 5'UTRs to intron regions by several percent to approximately 16.85% in these different genomic regions of the primates. It has been reported that there is a gradient in the GC-content of Gramineae genes, but not eudicot genes [51]. It is an unresolved problem that how GC-content heterogeneities arise in the genome and no model predicts a gradient of GC-content. The GC-content gradients always decreases from 5'- to 3'-ends, which was consistent with the strict directionality of the transcription-related gradient. It may be that there is a gradient effect in the 5'UTR regions because they are also transcribed. The best evidence for a translation-related selection is the sharp transition in GC-content at the start of 5'UTR regions. This makes sense if, in addition to the mutational biases, the (G/C or GC)_n repeats are selected to insert in the 5'UTR and CDS regions, and the adjacent noncoding sequences, 3'UTRs and introns, are inherited along with them. It has been speculated on the molecular mechanisms that it would include elements of transcription-coupled DNA repair [56,57], coupled to the process of transcription initiation, elongation, and termination [58]. The overall preference toward higher GC-content is attributable to the low-fidelity polymerases that facilitate replicative bypass [59]. A gradient of GC-content would arise when the repair process aborts or bypasses the lesions to be repaired more frequently than transcription itself [51]. It has been reported that the substitution rates of GC pair strongly negative correlated with the GC-content and exon density [52]. Also, the substitution of telomere surrounding sequences is help to increase the GC-content of their sequences that are within 10-15 Mbp away from the telomere [52]. Births/deaths of SSRs occurred in genomic regions with high substitution rates, protomicrosatellite content, and L1(TE) density, but low GC- and Alu-content [42]. Low GC-content and an abundance of protomicrosatellites facilitate SSRs births/deaths, likely because such sequences have high rates of slippage [60] and substitution [52]. GC-rich Alus have a negative association with SSR births/deaths [42]. Thus, GC- and Alu-content were negative predictors of SSR births/deaths.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genome sequences and SSR identification

We selected whole genome sequences of ten primates as samples to analyze the SSR distributions in the genomic

level. All the genome sequences were downloaded in FASTA format from the Ensembl (<http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html>). The species, genome size, GC-content, etc., have been summarized in Table 3. The genome size ranged from ~2519.72 Mb (*Otolemur garnetti*) to 3441.23 Mb (*Pongo abelii*). The sequences of the gene models, 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs, TEs, and intergenic regions were generated according to the positions in the genome annotations. The intergenic regions referred to the interval sequences between gene and gene that were not included the introns, CDSs, UTRs, and other sequences. SSRs can be grouped into six categories [26,61,62], which were identified and scanned for SSRs of 1-6 bp using the software MSDB (Microsatellite Search and Building Database) downloaded at <https://code.google.com/p/msdb/> [63]. To compare our results, we performed a similar analysis of these primate genomes using the same bioinformatics tool and search parameters.

Since primate species are very large genomes, relatively systemic search criteria were adopted in the study, and the parameters for minimum repeat numbers were set as

12, 7, 5, 4, 4, 4 for mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa-nucleotide SSRs, respectively [29]. In this study, repeats with unit patterns being circular permutations and/or reverse complements of each other were grouped together as one type for statistical analysis [64,65]. For tetra- and hexanucleotide repeats, combinations representing perfect di- and tri-nucleotide repeats were filtered from the final counts [26]. The combinations of SSRs for this study will help to a better knowledge of total SSRs occurrence, and their genomic locations will be very useful in selecting SSRs representative of similar repeat classes from different genomic regions as potential markers. To facilitate the comparison among different repeat categories or motifs, we determined relative abundance, which means the number of SSRs per Mb of the sequence analyzed, and relative density, which means the length (in bp) of SSRs per Mb of the sequence analyzed [63,66]. These total numbers have been normalized as relative abundance to allow comparison in the different genomic regions. In the four DNA bases, percentage of guanine (G) plus cytosine (C) was called GC-content in the analyzed sequence.

Table 3. Overview of the ten primate genomes

Name Parameters	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Genome size (Mb)	2,519.72	2,914.96	3,097.37	2,789.64	2,948.3 8	2,962.06	3,029.5 4	3,441.2 3	3,309.5 6	3,095.0 9
GC-content (in %)	41.11	40.85	40.87	40.81	40.96	40.76	40.54	40.70	40.75	40.91
Number of SSRs	845,502	943,460	1,201,21 1	1,163,57 0	1,208,7 16	1,051,92 9	1,083,8 83	1,243,1 63	1,191,5 53	1,103,9 54
Relative abundance (No./Mb)	335.54	323.65	378.81	417.10	409.97	355.13	357.77	361.26	360.03	356.67
Relative density (bp/Mb)	5,903.34	6,624.18	11,020.7 4	7,440.52	7,684.0 3	8,440.65	7,321.3 8	7,287.9 4	8,784.5 1	9,122.1 3
Total length of SSRs (bp)	14,874,7 68	19,309,19 9	26,143,8 32	25,447,4 70	25,900, 059	21,686,3 38	28,595, 581	25,079, 455	25,430, 842	23,029, 134
Genome SSRs content (% ^a)	0.59	0.66	0.84	0.91	0.88	0.73	0.94	0.73	0.77	0.74

^a= total SSRs sequences of whole genome sequences in the genome level

Statistical analysis

All data analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 and followed standard procedures. The Pearson test was used to reveal the correlation between two variables, including number of SSRs, relative abundance, relative density, genome size, and GC-content, chromosome sequence size.

Ethics approval

Ethics approval was not required for the study.

Abbreviations

AT-content, adenine-thymine content; GC-content, guanine-cytosine content; MSDB, Microsatellite search and building database; SSRs, Simple sequence repeats; P-SSRs, Perfect SSRs; IP-SSRs, Interrupted perfect SSRs; C-SSRs, Compound SSRs; IC-SSRs, Interrupted compound SSRs; CX-SSRs, Complex SSRs; ICX-SSRs, Interrupted complex SSRs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Lianming Du, Biqin Mou, and Chen Wang at College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University, and Wanqing Zhang at College of Life Science and Engineering, Chongqing Three Gorges University, for assisting the study.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31270431 and 31530068), Scientific and Technological Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (No. KJ1401004), Basic and Advanced Research Project of Chongqing science and technology Commission (No. cstc2015jcyjA80016), and Major breeding Project (No. 15ZP03) of Chongqing Three Gorges University.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Kelkar YD, Strubczewski N, Hile SE, Chiaromonte F, Eckert KA, Makova KD. What is a microsatellite: a computational and experimental definition based upon repeat mutational behavior at A/T and GT/AC repeats. *Genome Biol Evol.* 2010; 2:620–35. doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evq046
2. Tóth G, Gáspári Z, Jurka J. Microsatellites in different eukaryotic genomes: survey and analysis. *Genome Res.* 2000; 10:967–81. doi.org/10.1101/gr.10.7.967
3. Li B, Xia Q, Lu C, Zhou Z, Xiang Z. Analysis on frequency and density of microsatellites in coding sequences of several eukaryotic genomes. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics.* 2004a; 2:24–31.
4. Hong CP, Piao ZY, Kang TW, Batley J, Yang TJ, Hur YK, Bhak J, Park BS, Edwards D, Lim YP. Genomic distribution of simple sequence repeats in *Brassica rapa*. *Mol Cells.* 2007; 23:349–56.
5. Melters DP, Bradnam KR, Young HA, Telis N, May MR, Ruby JG, Sebra R, Peluso P, Eid J, Rank D, Garcia JF, DeRisi JL, Smith T, et al. Comparative analysis of tandem repeats from hundreds of species reveals unique insights into centromere evolution. *Genome Biol.* 2013; 14:R10. doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r10
6. Schlötterer C. Evolutionary dynamics of microsatellite DNA. *Chromosoma.* 2000; 109:365–71. doi.org/10.1007/s004120000089
7. Katti MV, Ranjekar PK, Gupta VS. Differential distribution of simple sequence repeats in eukaryotic genome sequences. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2001; 18:1161–67. doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a003903
8. Verstrepen KJ, Jansen A, Lewitter F, Fink GR. Intragenic tandem repeats generate functional variability. *Nat Genet.* 2005; 37:986–90. doi.org/10.1038/ng1618
9. Gemayel R, Vences MD, Legendre M, Verstrepen KJ. Variable tandem repeats accelerate evolution of coding and regulatory sequences. *Annu Rev Genet.* 2010; 44:445–77. doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-072610-155046
10. Gemayel R, Cho J, Boeynaems S, Verstrepen KJ. Beyond junk-variable tandem repeats as facilitators of rapid evolution of regulatory and coding sequences. *Genes (Basel).* 2012; 3:461–80. doi.org/10.3390/genes3030461
11. Ellegren H. Microsatellites: simple sequences with complex evolution. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2004; 5:435–45. doi.org/10.1038/nrg1348
12. Tautz D, Schlötterer C. Simple sequences. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 1994; 4:832–37. doi.org/10.1016/0959-437X(94)90067-1
13. Bachtrög D, Weiss S, Zangerl B, Brem G, Schlötterer C. Distribution of dinucleotide microsatellites in the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Mol Biol Evol.* 1999; 16:602–10. doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026142

14. Fondon JW 3rd, Garner HR. Molecular origins of rapid and continuous morphological evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004; 101:18058–63. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408118101
15. Kashi Y, King DG. Simple sequence repeats as advantageous mutators in evolution. *Trends Genet*. 2006; 22:253–59. doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2006.03.005
16. Gibbons JG, Rokas A. Comparative and functional characterization of intragenic tandem repeats in 10 *Aspergillus* genomes. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2009; 26:591–602. doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn277
17. Rudd JJ, Antoniw J, Marshall R, Motteram J, Fraaije B, Hammond-Kosack K. Identification and characterisation of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* secreted or surface-associated proteins with variable intragenic coding repeats. *Fungal Genet Biol*. 2010; 47:19–32. doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2009.10.009
18. Murat C, Riccioni C, Belfiori B, Cichocki N, Labbé J, Morin E, Tisserant E, Paolucci F, Rubini A, Martin F. Distribution and localization of microsatellites in the Perigord black truffle genome and identification of new molecular markers. *Fungal Genet Biol*. 2011; 48:592–601. doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2010.10.007
19. Usdin K. The biological effects of simple tandem repeats: lessons from the repeat expansion diseases. *Genome Res*. 2008; 18:1011–19. doi.org/10.1101/gr.070409.107
20. Li YC, Korol AB, Fahima T, Nevo E. Microsatellites within genes: structure, function, and evolution. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2004; 21:991–1007. doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msh073
21. Zoghbi HY, Orr HT. Glutamine repeats and neurodegeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2000; 23:217–47. doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.23.1.217
22. Meloni R, Albanèse V, Ravassard P, Treilhou F, Mallet J. A tetranucleotide polymorphic microsatellite, located in the first intron of the tyrosine hydroxylase gene, acts as a transcription regulatory element in vitro. *Hum Mol Genet*. 1998; 7:423–28. doi.org/10.1093/hmg/7.3.423
23. Ranum LP, Day JW. Dominantly inherited, non-coding microsatellite expansion disorders. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2002; 12:266–71. doi.org/10.1016/S0959-437X(02)00297-6
24. Lawson MJ, Zhang L. Distinct patterns of SSR distribution in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rice genomes. *Genome Biol*. 2006; 7:R14. doi.org/10.1186/gb-2006-7-2-r14
25. Kashi Y, King D, Soller M. Simple sequence repeats as a source of quantitative genetic variation. *Trends Genet*. 1997; 13:74–78. doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(97)01008-1
26. Qi WH, Jiang XM, Du LM, Xiao GS, Hu TZ, Yue BS, Quan QM. Genome-Wide Survey and Analysis of Microsatellite Sequences in Bovid Species. *PLoS One*. 2015; 10:e0133667. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133667
27. Sharma PC, Grover A, Kahl G. Mining microsatellites in eukaryotic genomes. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2007; 25:490–98. doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.07.013
28. Subramanian S, Mishra RK, Singh L. Genome-wide analysis of microsatellite repeats in humans: their abundance and density in specific genomic regions. *Genome Biol*. 2003; 4:R13. doi.org/10.1186/gb-2003-4-2-r13
29. Parida SK, Verma M, Yadav SK, Ambawat S, Das S, Garg R, Jain M. Development of genome-wide informative simple sequence repeat markers for large-scale genotyping applications in chickpea and development of web resource. *Front Plant Sci*. 2015; 6:645. doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00645
30. Mayer C, Leese F, Tollrian R. Genome-wide analysis of tandem repeats in *Daphnia pulex*--a comparative approach. *BMC Genomics*. 2010; 11:277. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-277
31. Zhao X, Tian Y, Yang R, Feng H, Ouyang Q, Tian Y, Tan Z, Li M, Niu Y, Jiang J, Shen G, Yu R. Coevolution between simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and virus genome size. *BMC Genomics*. 2012; 13:435. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-435
32. Lin WH, Kussell E. Evolutionary pressures on simple sequence repeats in prokaryotic coding regions. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012; 40:2399–413. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1078
33. Zhao Z, Guo C, Sutharzan S, Li P, Echt CS, Zhang J, Liang C. Genome-wide analysis of tandem repeats in plants and green algae. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 2014; 4:67–78. doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.008524
34. Subramanian S, Madgula VM, George R, Mishra RK, Pandit MW, Kumar CS, Singh L. Triplet repeats in human genome: distribution and their association with genes and other genomic regions. *Bioinformatics*. 2003a; 19:549–52. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg029
35. Verkerk AJ, Pieretti M, Sutcliffe JS, Fu YH, Kuhl DP, Pizzuti A, Reiner O, Richards S, Victoria MF, Zhang FP, Eussen BE, van Ommen GB, Blonden LA, et al. Identification of a gene (FMR-1) containing a CGG repeat coincident with a breakpoint cluster region exhibiting length variation in fragile X syndrome.

- Cell. 1991; 65:905–14. doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90397-H
36. La Spada AR, Wilson EM, Lubahn DB, Harding AE, Fischbeck KH. Androgen receptor gene mutations in X-linked spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy. *Nature*. 1991; 352:77–79. doi.org/10.1038/352077a0
 37. Sureshkumar S, Todesco M, Schneeberger K, Harilal R, Balasubramanian S, Weigel D. A genetic defect caused by a triplet repeat expansion in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science*. 2009; 323:1060–63. doi.org/10.1126/science.1164014
 38. Hancock JM, Simon M. Simple sequence repeats in proteins and their significance for network evolution. *Gene*. 2005; 345:113–18. doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2004.11.023
 39. Pearson CE, Nichol Edamura K, Cleary JD. Repeat instability: mechanisms of dynamic mutations. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2005; 6:729–42. doi.org/10.1038/nrg1689
 40. Utsch B, Becker K, Brock D, Lentze MJ, Bidlingmaier F, Ludwig M. A novel stable polyalanine [poly(A)] expansion in the HOXA13 gene associated with hand-foot-genital syndrome: proper function of poly(A)-harbouring transcription factors depends on a critical repeat length? *Hum Genet*. 2002; 110:488–94. doi.org/10.1007/s00439-002-0712-8
 41. Morgante M, Hanafey M, Powell W. Microsatellites are preferentially associated with nonrepetitive DNA in plant genomes. *Nat Genet*. 2002; 30:194–200. doi.org/10.1038/ng822
 42. Kelkar YD, Eckert KA, Chiaromonte F, Makova KD. A matter of life or death: how microsatellites emerge in and vanish from the human genome. *Genome Res*. 2011; 21:2038–48. doi.org/10.1101/gr.122937.111
 43. Fujimori S, Washio T, Higo K, Ohtomo Y, Murakami K, Matsubara K, Kawai J, Carninci P, Hayashizaki Y, Kikuchi S, Tomita M. A novel feature of microsatellites in plants: a distribution gradient along the direction of transcription. *FEBS Lett*. 2003; 554:17–22. doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(03)01041-X
 44. Zhang L, Yuan D, Yu S, Li Z, Cao Y, Miao Z, Qian H, Tang K. Preference of simple sequence repeats in coding and non-coding regions of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Bioinformatics*. 2004; 20:1081–86. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth043
 45. Kokulapalan W. Genome-wide Computational Analysis of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* Promoters (Doctoral dissertation), Miami University. 2011.
 46. Zhang L, Zuo K, Zhang F, Cao Y, Wang J, Zhang Y, Sun X, Tang K. Conservation of noncoding microsatellites in plants: implication for gene regulation. *BMC Genomics*. 2006; 7:323. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-7-323
 47. Metzgar D, Bytof J, Wills C. Selection against frameshift mutations limits microsatellite expansion in coding DNA. *Genome Res*. 2000; 10:72–80.
 48. Dokholyan NV, Buldyrev SV, Havlin S, Stanley HE. Distributions of dimeric tandem repeats in non-coding and coding DNA sequences. *J Theor Biol*. 2000; 202:273–82. doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.1999.1052
 49. Bernardi G. Isochores and the evolutionary genomics of vertebrates. *Gene*. 2000; 241:3–17. doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(99)00485-0
 50. Eyre-Walker A, Hurst LD. The evolution of isochores. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2001; 2:549–55. doi.org/10.1038/35080577
 51. Wong GK, Wang J, Tao L, Tan J, Zhang J, Passey DA, Yu J. Compositional gradients in Gramineae genes. *Genome Res*. 2002; 12:851–56. doi.org/10.1101/gr.189102
 52. Arndt PF, Hwa T, Petrov DA. Substantial regional variation in substitution rates in the human genome: importance of GC content, gene density, and telomere-specific effects. *J Mol Evol*. 2005; 60:748–63. doi.org/10.1007/s00239-004-0222-5
 53. Britten RJ, Baron WF, Stout DB, Davidson EH. Sources and evolution of human Alu repeated sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1988; 85:4770–74. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.13.4770
 54. Jurka J, Smith T. A fundamental division in the Alu family of repeated sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1988; 85:4775–78. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.13.4775
 55. Victoria FC, da Maia LC, de Oliveira AC. In silico comparative analysis of SSR markers in plants. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2011; 11:15. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-11-15
 56. Thoma F. Light and dark in chromatin repair: repair of UV-induced DNA lesions by photolyase and nucleotide excision repair. *EMBO J*. 1999; 18:6585–98. doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.23.6585
 57. Svejstrup JQ. Mechanisms of transcription-coupled DNA repair. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2002; 3:21–29. doi.org/10.1038/nrm703
 58. Kim DK, Yamaguchi Y, Wada T, Handa H. The regulation of elongation by eukaryotic RNA polymerase II: a recent view. *Mol Cells*. 2001; 11:267–74.

59. Cleaver JE, Karplus K, Kashani-Sabet M, Limoli CL. Nucleotide excision repair “a legacy of creativity”. *Mutat Res.* 2001; 485:23–36.
[doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8777\(00\)00073-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8777(00)00073-2)
60. Bacolla A, Wells RD. Non-B DNA conformations, genomic rearrangements, and human disease. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:47411–14.
doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R400028200
61. Chambers GK, MacAvoy ES. Microsatellites: consensus and controversy. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol.* 2000; 126:455–76. [doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0491\(00\)00233-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0491(00)00233-9)
62. Bachmann L, Bareiss P, Tomiuk J. Allelic variation, fragment length analyses and population genetic models: a case study on *Drosophila* microsatellites. *J Zoological Syst Evol Res.* 2004; 42:215–23.
doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00275.x
63. Du L, Li Y, Zhang X, Yue B. MSDB: a user-friendly program for reporting distribution and building databases of microsatellites from genome sequences. *J Hered.* 2013; 104:154–57.
doi.org/10.1093/jhered/ess082
64. Jurka J, Pethiyagoda C. Simple repetitive DNA sequences from primates: compilation and analysis. *J Mol Evol.* 1995; 40:120–26.
doi.org/10.1007/BF00167107
65. Li CY, Liu L, Yang J, Li JB, Su Y, Zhang Y, Wang YY, Zhu YY. Genome-wide analysis of microsatellite sequence in seven filamentous fungi. *Interdiscip Sci.* 2009; 1:141–50. doi.org/10.1007/s12539-009-0014-5
66. Karaoglu H, Lee CM, Meyer W. Survey of simple sequence repeats in completed fungal genomes. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2005; 22:639–49.
doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi057

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1. Percentage of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the 5'UTRs of the primates genomes

5'UTR	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Size (Mb)	1.95	7.40	4.48	2.91	3.02	3.46	3.20	3.30	3.19	22.28
Mono-	13.92	20.19	24.29	28.69	27.79	28.63	18.80	27.04	18.77	19.43
Di-	11.39	9.63	9.18	11.59	11.57	10.74	11.86	13.42	10.93	10.68
Tri-	63.29	56.54	51.98	47.31	44.83	49.26	51.46	44.30	55.53	53.61
Tetra-	8.23	9.34	8.62	8.31	10.23	7.68	10.79	8.48	9.00	10.11
Penta-	1.90	2.51	3.81	2.81	4.55	2.84	5.08	4.04	3.34	3.92
Hexa-	1.27	1.80	2.12	1.29	1.03	0.84	2.00	2.72	2.44	2.26
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Supplementary Table 2. Percentage of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the CDSs of the primates genome

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
size (Mb)	32.52	67.16	52.61	31.55	35.19	32.66	44.33	32.42	31.64	117.84
Mono-	11.37	5.37	17.53	6.34	2.27	8.27	9.26	5.66	1.99	1.77
Di-	3.65	4.56	3.09	2.21	1.91	3.87	4.44	3.64	1.67	1.47
Tri-	78.15	81.15	73.01	85.22	89.72	81.25	76.34	83.54	91.53	92.58
Tetra-	2.57	3.44	2.64	1.76	1.49	2.02	2.57	2.37	1.22	1.16
Penta-	0.27	0.37	0.39	1.25	0.28	0.95	1.40	0.75	0.26	0.20
Hexa-	3.99	5.11	3.34	3.23	4.32	3.63	5.98	4.04	3.34	2.82
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Supplementary Table 3. Percentage of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the introns of the primates genome

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
size (Mb)	689.23	1310.05	1083.36	783.89	904.98	938.95	958.15	962.14	959.90	4424.67
Mono-	71.64	65.00	64.42	63.74	65.22	65.06	61.86	63.88	63.05	61.84
Di-	9.73	17.29	14.12	15.76	13.95	14.60	14.96	14.79	15.09	15.97
Tri-	5.00	4.08	5.13	5.20	5.13	4.79	5.31	5.45	5.19	5.36
Tetra-	11.54	11.71	12.82	11.30	12.08	12.57	12.94	12.81	13.30	13.34
Penta-	1.87	1.60	3.04	3.44	3.14	2.60	4.47	2.61	2.93	2.95
Hexa-	0.23	0.33	0.47	0.56	0.48	0.37	0.47	0.45	0.43	0.54
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Supplementary Table 4. Percentage of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the 3'UTRs of the primates genome

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Size (Mb)	11.47	21.75	13.56	16.71	12.04	20.34	16.12	17.38	15.56	79.72
Mono-	73.12	62.89	64.88	69.58	69.15	69.30	61.45	69.55	64.36	62.28
Di-	13.62	22.36	20.89	14.84	12.15	16.54	22.63	15.55	20.01	19.22
Tri-	6.81	5.81	6.72	4.54	4.67	4.29	5.93	5.47	5.32	6.81
Tetra-	4.66	6.46	4.96	8.20	11.13	7.32	7.34	7.36	7.62	8.67
Penta-	1.08	2.14	1.84	2.39	2.54	2.15	2.17	1.69	2.10	2.22
Hexa-	0.72	0.35	0.71	0.45	0.37	0.40	0.49	0.39	0.59	0.79
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Supplementary Table 5. Percentage of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the TEs of the primates genome

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Size (Mb)	905.63	1257.35	1344.54	1304.33	1331.08	1321.23	1190.72	1365.45	1359.57	1367.36
Mono-	83.53	82.88	80.94	80.93	81.11	83.22	78.96	81.41	80.86	81.82
Di-	5.62	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.54	4.33	5.59	4.69	4.70	4.36
Tri-	3.87	2.63	3.47	3.48	3.42	2.91	3.57	3.28	3.16	3.07
Tetra-	6.30	8.64	8.86	8.88	8.81	7.86	9.75	8.79	9.20	8.78
Penta-	0.64	1.12	1.96	1.93	1.91	1.52	1.93	1.66	1.88	1.77
Hexa-	0.03	0.09	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.16	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.20
Total	100.00	100	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Supplementary Table 6. Percentage of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the intergenic regions of the primates genome

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
size (Mb)	1718.68	1770.85	1913.80	1933.97	1936.47	1781.82	1874.75	2090.02	2213.39	1733.56
Mono-	64.41	53.56	56.13	54.35	56.24	55.10	53.97	55.38	54.35	52.11
Di-	13.98	23.94	17.74	17.81	17.25	20.07	19.68	18.62	19.57	20.17
Tri-	5.97	4.76	5.96	6.32	6.07	5.70	5.96	6.00	5.99	5.90
Tetra-	13.14	15.35	16.38	17.16	16.53	15.78	15.77	16.06	16.05	16.83
Penta-	2.24	2.01	3.26	3.75	3.36	2.93	4.10	3.42	3.52	4.34
Hexa-	0.25	0.38	0.54	0.62	0.54	0.42	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.66
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Supplementary Table 7. The AT-content in the 5'UTRs, CDSs, introns, 3'UTRs,TEs, and intergenic regions of the primates genome

Regions	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
5'UTRs	45.61	43.24	43.23	41.91	41.15	42.45	41.14	39.81	39.56	42.30
CDSs	48.48	48.45	48.47	48.00	47.88	48.51	47.87	48.00	48.07	47.86
3'UTRs	58.46	58.03	56.68	56.19	53.63	57.44	56.85	56.83	58.23	56.02
TEs	58.60	58.40	58.22	58.20	58.23	58.20	58.54	58.48	58.36	58.28
Introns	58.61	58.50	58.79	58.28	58.39	58.57	59.16	58.66	58.60	58.64
Intergenic regions	59.18	59.83	59.74	59.81	59.71	60.15	60.09	59.77	59.73	59.93

Supplementary Table 8. The AT-content (%) of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the 5'UTRs of the primate genomes

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mono-	96.02	93.27	96.42	95.07	96.84	96.18	95.36	93.52	94.47	96.49
Di-	43.33	46.71	46.99	46.83	47.25	46.81	47.94	46.56	45.74	48.45
Tri-	12.53	12.58	12.40	12.28	10.43	12.32	12.20	10.63	7.92	11.12
Tetra-	28.77	30.99	32.48	42.36	46.34	38.19	26.39	44.78	27.40	38.62
Penta-	20.00	20.79	30.83	41.13	28.02	30.15	19.56	26.16	26.03	29.67
Hexa-	16.67	12.62	31.79	33.33	27.88	25.25	18.52	14.80	19.68	23.86
Total	26.63	32.35	37.73	49.66	42.90	46.84	32.78	40.63	29.18	33.67

^a The numbers of nucleotides in SSRs are listed. For example: the total of the nucleotides in mononucleotide SSRs are 5458214 bp, one of which have 5316524 bp A+T and 141690 bp C+G.

^b The percentage of nucleotides are shown in table.

Supplementary Table 9. The AT-content (%) of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the CDSs of the primate genomes

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mono-	98.58	94.42	98.44	97.96	97.78	94.36	94.20	95.56	94.06	94.72
Di-	61.21	50.04	53.25	52.99	50.00	50.08	51.69	52.87	48.48	49.07
Tri-	33.50	33.41	31.69	29.85	31.33	31.35	33.07	30.49	29.45	30.89
Tetra-	65.58	57.18	65.87	60.23	53.04	48.67	61.91	50.91	53.27	49.51
Penta-	80.00	60.00	72.73	64.84	60.00	58.83	59.07	48.67	75.79	55.85
Hexa-	28.82	29.31	30.55	29.51	24.37	34.45	32.32	27.35	29.24	31.77
Total	42.19	37.74	45.40	35.99	33.05	42.59	41.21	35.18	31.21	32.08

Supplementary Table 10. The AT-content (%) of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the introns of the primate genomes

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mono-	97.96	99.68	98.66	99.44	99.71	98.71	99.63	99.37	99.43	99.42
Di-	55.09	56.98	58.21	59.01	59.46	59.62	60.92	61.56	58.21	62.51
Tri-	63.91	64.5	71.33	73.11	66.3	70.2	68.22	70.18	68.17	69.31
Tetra-	77.07	72.04	79.38	73.19	74.61	86.02	79.89	78.61	80.8	80.17
Penta-	72	75.48	81.37	80.56	73.01	86.15	80.41	73.25	73.33	70.73
Hexa-	81.67	84.42	77.54	78.21	81.33	81.33	81.33	80.63	83.33	83.33
Total	88.72	85.1	87.13	88.2	89.25	87.19	88.31	88.72	88.91	89.28

Supplementary Table 11. The AT-content (%) of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the 3'UTRs of the primate genomes

type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mono-	98.36	99.01	99.47	98.85	99.28	99.26	98.32	99.15	98.17	98.95
Di-	64.22	62.19	60.59	57.66	57.86	60.02	59.68	58.17	59.89	60.60
Tri-	50.21	55.46	57.51	65.26	64.57	64.96	62.13	63.69	62.84	58.47
Tetra-	68.64	63.37	65.77	70.40	74.95	73.52	69.47	71.82	70.76	74.48
Penta-	67.69	58.83	67.69	75.56	76.11	72.59	70.26	71.84	72.42	73.19
Hexa-	45.00	42.59	50.00	64.45	55.02	47.99	60.67	58.06	62.06	71.55
Total	86.83	82.33	84.02	86.70	87.90	86.86	82.17	86.53	83.91	83.50

Supplementary Table 12. The AT-content (%) of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the TEs of the primate genomes

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mono-	99.56	99.81	99.67	99.67	99.67	99.86	99.74	99.82	99.76	99.86
Di-	62.94	63.24	61.33	62.33	58.33	64.41	63.82	63.95	63.72	64.76
Tri-	69.10	74.01	72.86	74.86	73.86	75.90	74.89	76.74	75.04	75.33
Tetra-	84.74	81.42	82.85	82.85	84.85	85.67	84.66	85.64	85.16	85.20
Penta-	79.35	81.08	80.16	82.60	83.60	83.08	82.44	83.15	82.91	82.64
Hexa-	73.44	78.11	78.30	79.30	80.30	83.10	82.75	83.89	84.69	82.59
Total	95.08	95.35	94.67	95.49	96.05	96.18	94.88	95.78	95.56	95.88

Supplementary Table 13. The AT-content (%) of mono- to hexanucleotide P-SSRs in the intergenic regions of the primate genomes

Type	<i>OtoGar</i>	<i>CalJac</i>	<i>MacMul</i>	<i>ChlSab</i>	<i>PapAnu</i>	<i>NomLeu</i>	<i>GorGor</i>	<i>PonAbe</i>	<i>PanTro</i>	<i>HomSap</i>
Mono-	98.69	99.32	99.65	99.43	99.56	99.64	99.63	99.42	99.13	99.62
Di-	60.67	59.45	58.37	57.00	57.90	61.96	59.80	60.69	61.59	61.99
Tri-	70.33	69.04	73.01	72.01	72.48	74.18	73.67	78.29	73.69	74.06
Tetra-	76.57	69.65	73.00	72.11	72.37	73.56	75.84	76.07	75.59	74.18
Penta-	74.73	69.28	79.80	78.71	78.73	77.96	73.16	79.44	78.56	74.12
Hexa-	65.26	62.37	71.12	72.09	69.03	69.66	67.03	68.32	71.12	64.18
Total	86.82	79.83	83.56	82.59	83.51	83.69	88.36	84.61	83.96	82.65