Daniela Puzzo

For decades, the increase of Amyloid-beta ($A\beta$) levels has been considered the early event that triggers Alzheimer's disease (AD). Although several evidences continue supporting the main role of $A\beta$ in AD, therapeutic approaches aimed at decreasing its levels have failed so far, raising several questions on AD pathophysiology and thus dividing the neuroscience community [1]. While many researchers assert that $A\beta$ is definitely the *primum movens* of the disease and the anti-A β treatment needs to be started in the earlier phases, i.e. when cognitive impairment is not yet manifested, others argue that the increase of $A\beta$ is not crucial in AD, suggesting that we need to focus on other targets, such as tau protein.

Within this context, it would be useful to take into account a different point of view based on several evidences suggesting that $A\beta$, prior to be the "AD protein", is a neuromodulator playing a crucial role at the synapse in physiological conditions [2]. As demonstrated by a number of *in vitro* and *in vivo* pre-clinical studies, $A\beta$: i) is released at hippocampal synapses where it modulates release probability [3]; ii) is produced during memory induction [4]; iii) is needed for formation of memory and its cellular correlate longterm potentiation (LTP) [4–6]. Furthermore, when administered at low picomolar doses, resembling the physiological content in the brain, $A\beta$ induces a beneficial effect resulting in the enhancement of LTP and memory [7].

Although the A β dose-dependent opposite effect might merely confirm that "sola dosis facit venenum", several decades of research in this field make the plot even more intricate. Indeed, different A β isoforms with a different propensity to form aggregates are present in the brain. In particular, most of the works have focused on A β_{42} , known to have a higher tendency to form oligomers, whose increase tightly correlates with synaptic dysfunction. As a consequence, the physiological effect of the peptide has been ascribed to monomeric A β and, in the bench-to-bedside approach, this idea has been translated into the discovery of anti-A β drugs targeting oligomers but sparing monomers (Patel, 2015).

However, in previous studies from our laboratory and others both the positive and negative effects of the peptide were obtained by using a preparation containing peptide were obtained by using a preparation containing a mixture of $A\beta_{42}$ monomers and oligomers [4–6], suggesting that oligomeric $A\beta_{42}$ is also involved in physiological synaptic function. This is not surprising considering that $A\beta$ is present in the healthy brain in different species ranging from monomers to oligomers [7], and it is unlikely that the latter only represent a waste product aimed at inducing.

The physiological function of oligometric $A\beta_{42}$ has been confirmed by our recent work designed to clarify whether different $A\beta$ concentrations, isoforms and aggregation status influence hippocampal LTP and spatial memory [8]. We found that oligometric $A\beta_{42}$ produced an opposite response on LTP and memory depending upon the concentration (200 nM vs. 200 pM). On the contrary, monomeric $A\beta_{42}$ impaired LTP and memory when at 200 nM, but did not enhance them at pM concentrations. Furthermore, the depletion of endogenous murine AB resulted in a dramatic impairment of LTP and memory that was exclusively rescued by 200 pM oligometric human A β_{42} . Interestingly, WB and electron microscopy analysis indicated that both monomers and oligomers were present in our 200 pM and 200 nM preparations, but with a different monomer/oligomer ratio, suggesting that the higher is A β_{42} concentration the higher is the formation of oligomers.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that the presence of $A\beta_{42}$ oligomers is crucial either in physiological or pathological conditions. This should prompt the neuroscience community to answer at least two crucial questions: why and how a protein that exerts a physiological function in the healthy brain starts increasing in sporadic AD (where this increase is not genetically-driven)? Is it safe to remove $A\beta$ oligomers from the brain considering their involvement in synaptic function? We believe that an in depth knowledge of the mechanisms underlying $A\beta$ production and function in the healthy brain should be achieved to understand the causes leading to its increase and detrimental effect in the AD brain [2].

REFERENCES

1. Gulisano W, et al. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018; 64:S611–31. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-179935 2. Puzzo D, et al. Neuroscience. 2015; 307:26–36.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.08.039

- 3. Abramov E, et al. Nat Neurosci. 2009; 12:1567–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2433
- 4. Puzzo D, et al. Ann Neurol. 2011; 69:819–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22313
- 5. Morley JE, et al. J Alzheimers Dis. 2010; 19:441–49. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2010-1230
- 6. Garcia-Osta A, Alberini CM. Learn Mem. 2009; 16:267–72. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.1310209
- 7. Puzzo D, et al. J Neurosci. 2008; 28:14537–45. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2692-08.2008
- 8. Gulisano W, et al. Neurobiol Aging. 2018; 71:51-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.06.025

Daniela Puzzo: Department Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, University of Catania, Catania 95123, Italy

Correspondence: Daniela Puzzo

Email: danypuzzo@yahoo.it

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, Amyloid-6 peptide, oligomers, synaptic plasticity, memory

Copyright: Puzzo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Received: December 7, 2018 Published: February 8, 2019