SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Figure 1. The flow diagram of the study.



Supplementary Figure 2. Prognostic model constructed using LASSO algorithm. (A) LASSO coefficient profiles of the expression of 26 candidate RBPs. (B) Selection of the penalty parameter (λ) in the LASSO model via cross-validation.



Supplementary Figure 3. Correlation between predicted risk score and clinical features. (A) TCGA database results. (B) GEO database results.



Supplementary Figure 4. Signature-related immune landscape based on the stromal, immune and ESTIMATE scores. (A, B) Differences in the TME score between the two risk groups in the TCGA and GEO datasets, respectively. (C, D) The relationship between the immune score and risk score in the TCGA and GEO datasets, respectively. (E, F) The relationship between the stromal score and risk score in the TCGA and GEO datasets, respectively. (E, F) The relationship between the stromal score and risk score in the TCGA and GEO datasets, respectively.



Supplementary Figure 5. Experimental validation. (A) Relative expression of MIR99AHG in six gastric cancer cell lines (hgc27, MGC803, NCI-N87, SGC7901, SNU-1 and MKN45) and human normal gastric epithelial cell line (GES1) analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. (B, C) Effect of MIR99AHG overexpression or knockdown on the ceRNA network molecules expression. (D–F) Effect of MIR99AHG overexpression or knockdown on PD-L1 expression.