SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

	WT (10 mo, $n = 8$)	Untreated $(n = 8)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 9)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	30.49 ± 1.0	$26.85\pm0.5^{\#}$	$30.43\pm0.9^*$
FTO	36.33 ± 1.1	33.97 ± 0.8	$37.98 \pm 1.3^*$
FTG	41.54 ± 2.0	$37.08 \pm 1.0^{\#\#}$	$42.31 \pm 1.1^{***}$
All Fibers	37.23 ± 0.9	$33.27\pm0.8^{\#}$	$37.46 \pm 0.9^{**}$

Supplementary Table 1. Fiber size analysis on tibialis anterior muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 mice.

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 2. Fiber size analysis on tibialis anterior muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 female mice.

	WT (10 mo, $n = 4$)	Untreated $(n = 4)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 5)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	27.98 ± 0.5	27.22 ± 0.9	30.41 ± 0.8
FTO	34.61 ± 1.7	33.44 ± 1.3	37.79 ± 2.0
FTG	40.89 ± 1.2	$35.54 \pm 1.4^{\#}$	$42.13 \pm 1.3^{**}$
All Fibers	35.26 ± 0.9	32.20 ± 1.0	$36.95 \pm 1.1^{*}$

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01,

Supplementary Table 3. Fiber size analysis on tibialis anterior muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 male mice.

	WT (10 mo, $n = 4$)	Untreated $(n = 4)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 4)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	33.00 ± 0.5	$26.48 \pm 0.6^{\text{\#}}$	30.46 ± 2.0
FTO	38.04 ± 0.9	34.50 ± 1.1	38.72 ± 1.9
FTG	42.19 ± 0.5	38.56 ± 0.8	42.54 ± 2.1
All Fibers	39.20 ± 0.4	$34.33\pm0.9^{\#}$	38.09 ± 1.7

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01, p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 4. Fiber size analysis on gastrocnemius muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 mice.	Supplementary Table 4	Fiber size analysis on	gastrocnemius muscle of NT	-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 mice.
--	-----------------------	------------------------	----------------------------	---

	WT (10 mo, $n = 8$)	Untreated $(n = 6)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 5)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	29.96 ± 0.7	27.65 ± 1.3	29.39 ± 0.9
FTO	34.07 ± 0.7	31.53 ± 0.8	33.23 ± 1.3
FTG	41.19 ± 1.0	$35.54 \pm 1.3^{\texttt{###}}$	$36.43 \pm 1.3^{\#}$
All Fibers	36.51 ± 0.8	$32.52 \pm 1.2^{\#}$	33.66 ± 0.8

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 5. Fiber size analysis on gastrocnemius muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 female mice.

	WT (10 mo, <i>n</i> = 4)	Untreated $(n = 3)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 2)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	28.69 ± 0.5	25.51 ± 0.1	$30.66\pm0.1^{\ast}$
FTO	32.67 ± 0.3	30.24 ± 1.1	31.33 ± 2.2
FTG	39.17 ± 0.5	$33.30 \pm 1.2^{\#}$	37.18 ± 3.2
All Fibers	34.97 ± 0.5	$30.35 \pm 0.8^{\#}$	33.59 ± 1.7

Data represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (compared to UT), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 6. Fiber size analysis on gastrocnemius muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 male mice.

	WT (10 mo, <i>n</i> = 4)	Untreated $(n = 3)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 3)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	31.24 ± 0.9	29.79 ± 1.8	28.55 ± 1.3
FTO	36.32 ± 1.0	32.82 ± 0.6	34.50 ± 1.4
FTG	43.20 ± 1.3	$37.78 \pm 1.7^{\#}$	$35.94 \pm 1.4^{\#\#}$
All Fibers	38.04 ± 0.9	34.70 ± 1.2	33.71 ± 1.2

Data represented as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (compared to UT), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 7. Fiber size analysis on quadriceps muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated	C57BL/6 mice.
---	---------------

	WT (10 mo, $n = 7$)	Untreated $(n = 6)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 4)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	31.66 ± 1.0	$27.60 \pm 1.3^{\#}$	31.06 ± 0.6
FTO	37.59 ± 0.8	$32.72 \pm 1.5^{\#}$	35.83 ± 0.9
FTG	46.56 ± 1.4	$35.06 \pm 1.0^{\# \# \# }$	$37.47 \pm 1.8^{\#\#\#}$
All Fibers	42.02 ± 1.3	$33.35 \pm 1.0^{\# \# \# }$	35.11 ± 1.2 ^{##}

Data represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (compared to UT), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 8. Fiber size analysis on quadriceps muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 female mice.

	WT (10 mo, $n = 3$)	Untreated $(n = 4)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 2)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	30.19 ± 1.4	27.72 ± 1.9	31.06 ± 0.5
FTO	35.36 ± 0.3	31.19 ± 1.8	34.73 ± 1.5
FTG	43.23 ± 0.6	34.01 ± 1.1	35.37 ± 1.7
All Fibers	38.87 ± 1.0	$32.55 \pm 1.3^{\#}$	34.09 ± 0.9

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 9. Fiber size analysis on quadriceps muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57	7BL/6 male mice.
---	------------------

	WT (10 mo, $n = 4$)	Untreated $(n = 2)$	NT-3 Treated (<i>n</i> = 2)
	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)	Diameter (µm)
STO	32.77 ± 0.8	27.35 ± 1.4	31.07 ± 1.3
FTO	40.61 ± 0.2	35.80 ± 1.2	36.94 ± 0.5
FTG	49.06 ± 1.3	37.16 ± 1.0	39.58 ± 2.9
All Fibers	44.38 ± 0.9	$34.95 \pm 0.4^{\text{\#}\text{\#}}$	$36.14 \pm 2.3^{\#\#}$

Data represented as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (compared to UT), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Table 10. Fiber size analysis on triceps muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 mice.

	WT (10 mo, <i>n</i> = 8) Diameter (μm)	Untreated (<i>n</i> = 8) Diameter (µm)	NT-3 Treated (n = 9) Diameter (μm)
STO	26.22 ± 0.7	27.10 ± 1.1	28.87 ± 1.0
FTO	33.32 ± 0.7	35.46 ± 1.6	$39.01 \pm 1.8^{\#}$
FTG	43.50 ± 1.1	41.31 ± 1.1	43.65 ± 2.1
All Fibers	37.70 ± 0.8	35.92 ± 1.2	37.18 ± 1.6

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

	WT (10 mo, <i>n</i> = 4) Diameter (μm)	Untreated (<i>n</i> = 4) Diameter (µm)	NT-3 Treated $(n = 5)$ Diameter (μ m)
STO	25.88 ± 1.1	25.21 ± 1.3	27.85 ± 1.6
FTO	35.35 ± 0.5	32.51 ± 1.8	36.02 ± 2.3
FTG	41.22 ± 1.0	38.69 ± 1.0	39.43 ± 2.0
All Fibers	35.96 ± 1.0	33.17 ± 1.1	33.90 ± 1.3

Supplementary Table 11. Fiber size analysis on triceps muscle of NT-3 treated and untreated C57BL/6 female mice.

Data represented as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (compared to UT), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.

	WT (10 mo, <i>n</i> = 4) Diameter (μm)	Untreated (<i>n</i> = 4) Diameter (µm)	NT-3 Treated $(n = 4)$ Diameter (μm)
STO	26.57 ± 0.8	28.98 ± 1.1	30.14 ± 0.6
FTO	34.29 ± 1.1	38.41 ± 1.5	$42.75 \pm 1.3^{* \texttt{#}\texttt{#}\texttt{#}}$
FTG	45.77 ± 1.2	43.93 ± 0.6	$48.93 \pm 1.5^*$
All Fibers	39.45 ± 0.6	38.66 ± 0.8	41.26 ± 1.2

Data represented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 (compared to UT), p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01 (compared to WT-10 mo), 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test.